



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,183	12/19/2001	Jeffrey A. Von Arx	279.391US1	6387	
21186 7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			MANUEL, GEORGE C		
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3762		
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(
Office Action Summary		A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/025,183	VON ARX ET AL.			
		E	caminer	Art Unit			
		G	eorge Manuel	3762			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspond nce address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIGN SO I time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- ED period for reply specified above is less than thirty (6) Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum some ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a) umunication. umunication. umunication are ply with statutory period will ap umunication are provided as a second seco	. In no event, however, may a reply be to in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 09 June	2003.				
2a)□							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/claim(s) is/sare allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-42 and 46</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>43-45</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn f					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) accepte ection to the draw g the correction	ving(s) be held in abeyance. So is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents ha y documents ha s of the priority onal Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in Applica documents have been receiv CT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
2)	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4, 5</u> .		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 5, 8-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Barreras '397 (of record).

Barreras discloses a near field antenna comprising inductor 30 and a far field antenna comprising internal antenna 11.

The examiner is interpreting an update command to comprise RF waves from coil 82 which are received by inductor 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 39, 40-42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barreras '397 (of record).

Regarding claims 4 and 7, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the antenna 11 of Barreras to comprise the features of a dipole or a circumferential antenna arrangement because these are two well known antenna configurations.

Regarding claim 6, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate the antenna as part of the therapy lead because the antenna needs to be exposed external of a steel enclosure and the therapy lead readily accepts the antenna without affecting the operability of the lead for stimulation or sensing and the lead must likewise be placed external the steel implantable enclosure.

Regarding claims 40-42 and 46, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide near and far field acknowledge signals because it is well known in communication protocol to provide such acknowledgement so it can be understood data transmitted was received.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,183

Art Unit: 3762

Allowabl Subject Matter

Claims 43-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mass et al '045 disclose a far field radio frequency communications link for an implantable device.

Dettloff et al '628 disclose near, mid, and far field characteristic for antenna configurations usable in implantable devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

3/1/04